Student Engagement and High-Impact Practices

Findings, Implications, and Applications from the Spring 2020 National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)

By: Dr. Sandra Hill, Associate Provost for Academic Affairs and Dr. Sesime Adanu, Executive Director of Institutional Effectiveness

November 30, 2020 In-Service Training, William Paterson University

What is NSSE?

A **national survey** instrument that:

- annually collects information at hundreds of four-year colleges and universities
- provides data on student participation in activities and programs that promote learning and personal development.
- provides an estimate of how undergraduates (first years and seniors) spend their time and what they gain at college or university.
- provides institutions their data to identify and improve the undergraduate experience through changes in policy and practice.

NSSE has been in operation since 2000, used at more than 1,600 colleges and universities in the US and Canada. More than 90% of participating institutions administer the survey on a periodic basis. At WP, every 2 years.

NSSE 2020 Measures

WHO: First-year students and seniors

WHEN: 2020 NSSE conducted March 2020 (pre-COVID-19 shutdown)

WHAT: Total 47 survey questions across 4 major themes

- 1. Academic Challenge
- 2. Learning with Peers
- 3. Experiences with Faculty
- 4. Campus Environment

Response Rate: 30.5%

• 40% First-year (rose by 10% over 2018); 21% Seniors (dropped 11%)

• Comparable 2020 to 2018 average response (30.5% vs 31%)

Comparison groups

- Public Master's -Large
- Regional Peers
- 4-year Residential

Engagement Indicators: 10 (from 4 major themes)

Academic Challenge

- higher-order learning
- o reflective and integrative learning
- learning strategies
- o quantitative reasoning

Learning with Peers

- collaborative learning
- o discussions with diverse others

Experiences with Faculty

- student-faculty interaction
- effective teaching practices

Campus Environment

- quality of interactions
- o supportive environment

^{*}in some areas can include: preparing for class, course reading, assigned writing, course challenge, and academic emphasis

Engagement Indicators: Overview

Use the following key:

- **Your students' average** was significantly higher $(p \le .05)$ with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.
- \triangle Your students' average was significantly higher ($p \le .05$) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- No significant difference.
- ∇ Your students' average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- **Vour students' average** was significantly lower $(p \le .05)$ with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

rst-Year Stud	lents	Your first-year students compared with	Your first-year students compared with	Your first-year students compared with
Theme	Engagement Indicator	Public Master's L	4 Year Res	Regional Public
	Higher-Order Learning	∇	∇	∇
Academic	Reflective & Integrative Learning	∇	∇	∇
Challenge	Learning Strategies		∇	
	Quantitative Reasoning	∇	∇	
Learning with	Collaborative Learning			
Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others	Δ		
Experiences	Student-Faculty Interaction	Δ	Δ	Δ
with Faculty	Effective Teaching Practices		∇	
Campus	Quality of Interactions	∇	∇	
Environment	Supportive Environment			

In a Nutshell: First-Year Engagement

Academic Challenge

<u>Down</u> across almost all indicators compared to all comparison groups

Campus Environment

<u>Down</u> compared to public masters and residential

Learning with Peers

- Comparable and
- <u>Up</u> compared to public masters on discussions with diverse others

Experiences with Faculty

- <u>Up</u> across all comparison groups student/faculty interactions
- <u>Down</u> in effective teaching compared to residentials

First Year Students 2020: 2016-18-20 Trend & Compared to Regional Peers

		WPU	WPU 2020 versus		
	Engagement Indicators	2020	WPU 2018	WPU 2016	Regional Peers 2020
	Higher Order Learning	35.6	38	39.1	37.2
Academic Challenge	Reflective and Integrative Learning	33.2	-2.4 35.5	36.7	-1.6 34.7
ع <u>ن</u> در			-2.3		-1.5
aden	Learning Strategies	36.8	39.3 -2.5	39.5	37.8 -1
₹	Quantitative Reasoning	26.3	25.9	29.2	27
			0.4		-0.7
£	Collaborative Learning	31.8	30.2	31.6	30.7
Learning with Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others	40.2	1.6 39.9	43.3	1.1 39.2
۲			0.3		1
uulty	Student-Faculty Interaction	24.1	21.4	22.4	21.3
Experiences with Faculty	, and the second	37	2.7 39	40.1	2.8 38
with	Effective Teaching Practices	37	-2	10.1	-1
#	Quality of Interactions	40.9	39.2	40.2	41.8
Campus			1.7		-0.9
Campus	Supportive Environment	35.6	37.1	36.4	35.5
<u></u>			-1.5		0.1

Engagement Indicators: Overview

	Your seniors compared with	Your seniors compared with	Your seniors compared with
Engagement Indicator	Public Master's L	4 Year Res	Regional Public
Higher-Order Learning			
Reflective & Integrative Learning			
Learning Strategies			
Quantitative Reasoning	∇	∇	
Collaborative Learning			∇
Discussions with Diverse Others			
Student-Faculty Interaction	∇	V	•
Effective Teaching Practices	∇	∇	∇
Quality of Interactions	∇	∇	
Supportive Environment			
	Higher-Order Learning Reflective & Integrative Learning Learning Strategies Quantitative Reasoning Collaborative Learning Discussions with Diverse Others Student-Faculty Interaction Effective Teaching Practices Quality of Interactions	Engagement Indicator Compared with Public Master's L Higher-Order Learning Reflective & Integrative Learning Learning Strategies Quantitative Reasoning ▼ Collaborative Learning Discussions with Diverse Others Student-Faculty Interaction ▼ Effective Teaching Practices ▼ Quality of Interactions ▼	Engagement Indicator compared with Public Master's L compared with 4 Year Res Higher-Order Learning Reflective & Integrative Learning Learning Strategies Quantitative Reasoning ▼ ▼ Collaborative Learning Discussions with Diverse Others Student-Faculty Interaction ▼ ▼ Effective Teaching Practices ▼ ▼ Quality of Interactions ▼ ▼

In a Nutshell: Senior Engagement

Academic Challenge

- Comparable almost all indicators, all comparison groups;
- <u>Down</u> quantitative reasoning vs. public masters, residentials Campus Environment
- Comparable almost all indicators, all comparison groups;
- <u>Down</u> quality of interactions vs. public masters, residentials Learning with Peers
- Comparable; down vs. regionals in collaborative learning Experiences with Faculty
- <u>Down</u> across all indicators;
- <u>Very significantly down</u> in student/faculty interactions vs. regional peers and residentials

Senior Year Student 2020: 2016-18-20 Trends & Compared to Regional Peers

		WPU	WPU	WPU 2020 versus	
	Engagement Indicators	2020	2018	WPU 2016	Regional Peers 2020
	Higher Order Learning	39.4	39.2	38.7	39.8
			0.2		-0.4
allenge	Reflective and Integrative Learning	37.6	37.7	36.6	38.3
Academic Challenge			-0.1		-0.7
Acac	Learning Strategies	37.2	39	39.3	38.2
			-1.8		-1
	Quantitative Reasoning	26.6	28	28.1	28.8
			-1.4		-2.2
Peers	Collaborative Learning	30.6	32	30.7	33
vith 7			-1.4		-2.4
Learning with Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others	39.8	41.4	42.5	39.5
			-1.6		0.3
Experiences with Faculty	Student-Faculty Interaction	21.4	25.1	23.1	26.4
s with			-3.7		-5
eriences	Effective Teaching Practices	37.3	40	37.8	40
EXPe			-2.7		-2.7
ent -	Quality of Interactions	39.9	39.9	39.3	41.8
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N			0		-1.9
Campus Environment	Supportive Environment	30.1	32.8	30.7	31.5
Cam			-2.7		-1.4

High Impact Practices (HIPs)

Includes:

- Learning Community
- Community-based Service Learning
- Work with Faculty on Research Project
- Internship/Co-op/Practicum/Clinical Experience
- Study Abroad
- Culminating Senior Experiences

First-Year & Seniors Participation in High-Impact Practices: 2016-18-20 Trends & Compared to Regional Peers

				Regional
	WPU 2020	WPU 2018	WPU 2016	Peers 2020
First Year				
Learning Community	11%	18%	10%	9%
Service-Learning	59%	55%	56%	52%**
Research with Faculty	5%	6%	8%	4%
Senior Year				
Learning Community	21%	22%	16%	22%
Service-Learning	58%	66%	61%	59%
Research with Faculty	8%	19%	17%	25%***
Internship or Field Experience	36%	46%	45%	53%***
Study Abroad	5%	2%	3%	12%*
Culminating Senior Experience	30%	52%	48%	46%***

Where do we go from here?



